

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PAKISTAN
(Original Jurisdiction)

PRESENT:

Mr. Justice Iftikhar Muhammad Chaudhry, HCJ
Mr. Justice Tassaduq Hussain Jilani
Mr. Justice Nasir-ul-Mulk
Mr. Justice Jawwad S. Khawaja
Mr. Justice Mian Saqib Nisar
Mr. Justice Sarmad Jalal Osmany
Mr. Justice Amir Hani Muslim
Mr. Justice Gulzar Ahmed
Mr. Justice Mushir Alam

CONSTITUTION PETITION NO. 97 OF 2011

AND

H.R.C. NO. 3194-G OF 2009

Press Clipping published in Daily News Islamabad dated 27.06.2009

AND

H.R.C. NOS. 3194-G AND 5241-P OF 2009

Malik Mukhtar Ahmed and other

Workers Party Pakistan through its General Secretary
Akhtar Hussain, Advocate and others **...Petitioners**

VERSUS

Federation of Pakistan and others **...Respondents**

For the Petitioners:
(in Const.P. 97/11)

Mr. Abid Hassan Minto, Sr. ASC.
Mr. Bilal Hassan Minto, ASC.
Mr. Mehmood A. Sheikh, AOR.

(in CMA2223/12 in
HRC 3194-G/09)

Mr. Gulzarin Kiani, Sr. ASC.

(in CMA 6089/13 in
Const.P. 97/11)

Mr. Abdul Hafeez Pirzada, Sr. ASC.

On Court's Notice:
For the Federal Govt.

Mr. Munir A. Malik, AGP

For the Govt. of KPK:

Mr. Abdul Latif Yousaf Zai, AG.

For the Govt. of Punjab: Mr. M. Hanif Khatana, Addl. AG.

For the Govt. of Sindh: Mr. Qasim Mirjat, Add. AG.

For Govt. of Balochistan: Nemo.

Date of Hearing: 30.09.2013

ORDER**C.M.A. 6089/2013**

Mr. Abdul Hafeez Pirzada, Sr. ASC, has prayed for impleadment as party on behalf of the Farmers Association of Pakistan (Guarantee Limited) duly incorporated under the Company Ordinance, 1984. The learned counsel, while making reference to the order of this Court dated 06.06.2013 stated that the applicants be allowed to be impleaded as party, as according to Article and Memorandum of Association of the Company, one of its objects and purposes is to protect, safeguard, preserve and promote the interest of growers and agricultural community in general and members of the Company in particular. The learned counsel as well as the Attorney General for Pakistan have no objection if the application is allowed. The application is, therefore, allowed and the applicant is accordingly impleaded as party.

2. The learned counsel for the newly impleaded respondent pointed out that in the instant case, question of vital importance of public interest relating to land reforms has been raised after the pronouncement of the judgment of the Shariat Appellate Bench of this Court in QAZALBASH WAQF v. CHIEF LAND COMMISSIONER, PUNJAB (PLD 1990 SC 99) therefore there are hundreds or thousands of persons who are likely to show their interest for and against the instant proceedings, therefore, wide publication is required to be made according to law in different newspapers of all the Provinces, being a provincial matter. The learned Attorney General has no objection to such publication, however, the learned counsel for the petitioners states that after 06.06.2013 the order was widely published in different newspapers, therefore, sufficient notice has already been sent to the interested parties. We considered the request so made by the

learned counsel for the respondent as well as the Attorney General and heard their respective points. In view of the importance of the issue involved in this case, let the Federal Government and all the Provincial Governments, at their cost, issue publication in different newspapers respectively within a period of two weeks upon receipt of copy of this order. Office is directed to prepare the publication, which shall be published in the newspapers of the Federal Government and all the Provincial Governments, in at least two Urdu dailies, so that general public may have notice of the proceedings of the case. The learned counsel for the petitioners stated that hearing of the case may commence, meanwhile whosoever is interested may join the proceedings. We consider it appropriate that the case be re-listed for hearing after two weeks to let interested persons come forward and in their presence the hearing of the case could commence. We expect from the Federal Government and the Provincial Governments to provide vital assistance to the Court for disposal of the case, as it involves important question of public importance. Adjourned for a date to **be fixed after two weeks.**

ISLAMABAD.
30th September, 2013.